



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/991,762

11/21/2001

Margaret Sue Ellis

1698/US

5316

20686

7590

08/22/2008

DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
370 SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 4700
DENVER, CO 80202-5647

EXAMINER

TINKLER, MURIEL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3691

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/991,762	Applicant(s) ELLIS, MARGARET SUE	
	Examiner MURIEL TINKLER	Art Unit 3691	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16-38, 41-43 and 45-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16-38, 41-43 and 45-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. Claims 1-14, 16-38, 41-43 and 45-47 have been reviewed. The rejection(s) are as follows.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 16-38, 41-43 and 45-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

2. The Applicant has submitted a Terminal Disclaimer filed on May 28, 2008. Therefore, the Double Patenting Rejection over Application 11/871,316 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Art Unit: 3691

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-12 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heffner et al. (US 2003/0018558), hereafter referred to as Heffner.

5. Regarding claims 1-12 and 41, Heffner discloses:

- a. A loan pool in the Abstract
- b. A database storing an electronic record in the Abstract
- c. Receiving and applying a selection of at least one risk filter in paragraph 86
- d. Displaying each of at least one loan and having a characteristic of the applied risk filter in paragraph 227
- e. Pre-selected rules in paragraph 219
- f. Mortgage loans in paragraph 6
- g. Providing a loss mitigation action for securitized loan pool based on an assessment of each of the at least one loans having the characteristic of the at least one applied risk filter as, "create credit slots with a list of criteria for each of said credit slots. The invention compares the loans in the loan pools to the credit slot criteria and places each loan in the loan pool in its slot. Based on the credit slotting and a pricing model received from the second subscriber, the invention can automatically price the pool and automatically submit a bid on the pool to the first subscriber. The invention can also calculate a price for a pool based on a

Art Unit: 3691

yield calculation which is determined by the percent yield that the subscriber wishes to recover.” The risk filters are the credit slots. The loans in the loan pools are assessed and placed in their appropriate slots (applying the risk filter).

6. Regarding claims 45-47, Heffner discloses the use of a watch list provided by a third party on page 6 in Table 1, ‘Servicing Company’ “on behalf of the owner of the loan, monitor and collect monthly payments from the Borrower, and may institute proceedings against borrower who are delinquent or in default (phases 120-124).” See also paragraph 105, “While one servicing subsystem 250 is shown in FIG. 2B, it will be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art(s) that a plurality of loan servicing entities, each with their own loan servicing subsystem 240 infrastructure, may subscribe to the exchange system 200 and thus access the above-described components inside of the system. Loan servicing entities would provide exchange system 200 subscribers, via the router 253 and the Internet 260, with information about each loan such as prepayment, delinquency, default, etc.”

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3691

8. Claims 13, 14, 16-20, 22-38, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heffner as applied to claim 1 above and in further view of McCauley et al. (US 5,930,775), hereafter referred to as McCauley.

9. Regarding claims 14 and 42, Heffner discloses the information in claim 1. See the rejection of claim 1 above. Heffner does not disclose obtaining an estimated liquidation time. McCauley teaches: obtaining an estimated net proceeds amount from a sale of the property associated with the loan (Fig. 6; column 5, lines 31-33; column 6, lines 65-column 7, lines 8; column 8, lines 48-50); obtaining an estimated liquidation time between a last interest paid date for the loan and a receipt of the net proceeds from the sale of the property (column 2, lines 19-26; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6; abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heffner to include estimating liquidation time because it would provide the parties with an estimated time line for the loan.

10. Regarding claim 16, Heffner discloses: a time line for loan life in paragraph 18; and, a payment plan in paragraph 30.

11. Regarding claim 17, Heffner discloses filtering according to pre-selected rules in paragraph 86.

12. Regarding claim 18, McCauley discloses Regarding claim 19, McCauley discloses: obtaining a first time factor to account for a payment plan includes: determining an end date for the payment plan; determining a current date; subtracting the current date from the end date for the payment plan; and wherein the operation Of

Art Unit: 3691

subtracting generates the first time factor to account for a payment plan (column 1, lines 25-30; column 2, lines 19-26; column 11, lines 1-7; Fig. 6; abstract; column 8, lines 22-60).

13. Regarding claims 19 and 20, McCauley discloses: obtaining a second time factor to account for a bankruptcy proceeding associated with the loan and adding the second time factor to the last interest paid date (column 2, lines 19-26; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6; abstract).

14. Regarding claims 22 and 23, McCauley discloses: the operation of applying a liquidation time value decision tree includes: obtaining a third time factor to account for litigation associated with the loan and adding the third time factor to the last interest paid date (column 2, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 39-47; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6).

15. Regarding claim 24, McCauley discloses: the operation of obtaining a third time factor to account for litigation associated with the loan includes: determining if there is litigation associated with the loan and setting the third time factor to twelve months if there is litigation associated with the loan (column 2, lines 19-26; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7-8; Fig. 6; abstract).

16. Regarding claims 25 and 26, McCauley discloses: the operation of applying a liquidation time value decision tree includes: obtaining a fourth time factor to account for foreclosure proceedings associated with the loan and adding the fourth time factor to the last interest paid date (column 2, lines 19-26; columns 3-8; Fig. 1-6).

Art Unit: 3691

17. Regarding claim 27, McCauley discloses: the operation of obtaining a fourth time factor to account for foreclosure proceedings associated with the loan includes: determining a foreclosure start date; determining a current date; subtracting the foreclosure start date from the current date; and wherein the operation of subtracting yields the fourth time factor (columns 3-8; Fig. 1-6).

18. Regarding claim 28 and 29, McCauley discloses: the operation of applying a liquidation time value decision tree includes: obtaining a fifth time factor to account for a delinquency status associated with the loan; adding the fifth time factor to the last interest paid date (see column 7, lines 52-67; Fig. 6; column 2, lines 19-26; abstract).

19. Regarding claims 13 and 30, McCauley discloses: the operation of determining a fifth time factor to account for a delinquency status associated with the loan includes: determining whether the loan has a delinquency status of current, 30 days delinquent, 60 days delinquent, or 90 days delinquent; setting the fifth time factor to two months if the delinquency status is 30 days delinquent; setting the fifth time factor to one month if the delinquency status is 60 days delinquent; setting the fifth time factor to zero months if the delinquency status is 90 days delinquent; and setting the fifth time factor to three months if the delinquency status is current date (see column 7, lines 52-67; Fig. 6; column 2, lines 19-26; abstract).

20. Regarding claims 31 and 32, McCauley discloses: obtaining a sixth time factor to account for a marketing period to sell the property and adding the sixth time factor to the last interest paid date (column 1, lines 25-30; column 2, lines 1-26; column 10, line 33-column 11, line 5).

Art Unit: 3691

21. Regarding claim 33, McCauley discloses: the operation of obtaining a sixth time factor to account for a marketing period to sell the property includes: determining if an eviction is required; adding two months to the sixth time factor if the eviction is required; determining if the property is a co-op; adding three months to the sixth time factor if the property is a co-op; determining if the property is located in a depressed region; adding twelve months to the sixth time factor if the property is in a depressed region; determining if the property is in bad condition; adding six months to the sixth time factor if the property is in bad condition; determining if the property has a value that exceeds a specified value; and adding two months to the sixth time factor if the property value exceeds the specified value (Fig. 1-6; abstract; column 5-8).

22. Regarding claims 34, 35 and 43, McCauley and Heffner disclose the information in claim 14. See the rejection of claim 14 above. McCauley also discloses: obtaining an estimated value for a property associated with a loan from the pool (abstract; Fig. 6; column 8, lines 48-50); obtaining an estimated net proceeds amount from a sale of the property associated with the loan (Fig. 6; column 5, lines 31-33; column 6, lines 65-column 7, lines 8; column 8, lines 48-50); obtaining an estimated liquidation time between a last interest paid date for the loan and a receipt of the net proceeds from the sale of the property (column 2, lines 19-26; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6; abstract); obtaining an estimated total debt amount for the loan (Fig. 6); deriving the difference between the estimated net proceeds and the estimated total debt to yield an estimated financial outcome from the sale of the property associated with the loan (Fig. 6; column 1, lines 56-67; column 5, lines 61-64;

Art Unit: 3691

abstract); applying the estimated financial outcome from the sale of the property associated with the loan yield the estimated financial outcome for the pool (Fig. 6; abstract).

23. Regarding claim 36, see the rejection(s) of claims 34, 35 and 43 above. The Examiner will further explain the reasoning for the rejection of claim 36 as compared to claims 34, 35 and 43 above. Claim 34 discloses obtaining a probability of loss (this can be defined as the estimated financial outcome). This can also be defined within Heffner as the risk of exposure/default on a loan (see the abstract). The Abstract of Heffner also discloses the use of a filter. Finally, Heffner further discloses a default probability based on the credit rating and the payment history of the loan in paragraph 151.

24. Regarding claim 37, McCauley discloses obtaining an appraisal for the property (Fig. 6).

25. Regarding claim 38, see the rejection of claim 1 above. Also, Heffner discloses sorting on any visible criteria in paragraph 365.

26. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heffner and McCauley as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Sellers et al. (US 2001/0044773), hereafter referred to as Sellers.

27. Regarding claim 21, Heffner and McCauley disclose the information in claim 20. McCauley and Heffner do not disclose: determining whether a chapter thirteen bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter twelve bankruptcy proceeding, or another chapter bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan; setting the second time factor to three months if the chapter thirteen

Art Unit: 3691

bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan; setting the second time factor to six months if the chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan setting the second time factor to twelve months if the chapter eleven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan; and setting the second time factor to three months if another bankruptcy proceeding or an unidentified bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan. Sellers teaches the following steps: determining whether a chapter thirteen

bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter twelve bankruptcy proceeding, or another chapter bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan (Fig. 13N; ¶ 0077); setting the second time factor to six months if the chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan (Fig. 13N; ¶ 0077-0078);

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add determining whether a chapter thirteen bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter twelve bankruptcy proceeding, or another chapter bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan and setting the second time factor to six months if the chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan features to the method of McClauey and Heffner because Sellers et al. teaches that adding the features help to provides a system for automatically obtaining loan workout approval (¶ 0008). The steps of: setting the second time factor to different months relating to different chapter bankruptcy is the design choices. Please refer to above rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MURIEL TINKLER whose telephone number is (571)272-7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM until 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. T./
Examiner, Art Unit 3691

/Hani M. Kazimi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691